

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,182	08/27/2001	Jerome Swartz	591A	5208
75	10/14/2005		EXAMINER	
Ira J. Schaefer, Esq.			PHAN, MAN U	
Hogan & Hartso 875 Third avenu			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY	10022		2665	
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	V						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/940,182	SWARTZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Man Phan	2665					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address -	•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMN 136(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX (i e, cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communications ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.4	August 2001.						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Thi							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 45-92 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraged 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 45-92 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 		n.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requiremen	nt.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	: a) ☐ accepted or b) e drawing(s) be held in a ction is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received prity documents have nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/13/02. 		er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

1. The application of Swartz et al. for a "System for digital radio communication between a wireless LAN and a PBX" filed 08/27/2001 has been examined. This application is a continuation of 09/008,710 is now US Patent# 6,330,244, Which is a continuation in part of 08/866,690 Which is a continuation in part of 08/780,023 now US#6,084,528 Which is a continuation in part of 08/706,579 now US# 5,825,002. Preliminary amendment filed 11/15/2001 has been entered and made of record. Claims 1-44 have been canceled, and new claims 45-92 have been added. Claims 45-92 are pending in the application.

Specification

2. Related Applications need to be updated.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Under cross references to related applications, page 1, the co-pending application status needs to be updated. Furthermore, application SN 09/008,710 is now US Patent# 6,330,244. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain: patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention" in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v.

Art Unit: 2665

Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 45-56 are rejected under 35 USC 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-7 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,330,244. This is a double patenting rejection.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" ranted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 196%.

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37CFR 3.7309.

Art Unit: 2665

5. Claims 57-92 of the present application Serial No. 09/940,182 (hereinafter Application '182) rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,330,244 (hereinafter patent '244) since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The claims are identical and they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent, since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are equivalent in scope and embodiment. The language of the two claims is substantially identical and is equivalent in functioning. All of the structural elements of the patent claims are present in the pending claims, defined with either identical or equivalent language. Additionally, the functional language, scope and embodiment reflect identical operation, purpose, application, and environment.

With respect to the specific limitations, claims 1-7 of patent '244 is equivalent to the pending claims 57-92 of Application '182 for the digital radio communication between remote devices and a PBX telephone system, wherein the remote devices can access and use the features in the PBX and the databases on a host computer and servers. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. It has been held that the omission of an element and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining

Art Unit: 2665

elements perform the same function as before. In re Karlson, 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA). Also note Ex parte Rainu, 168 USPQ 375 (Bd. App. 1969); omission of a reference element whose function is not needed would be obvious to one skilled in the art.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Mahany (US#5,960,344) is cited to show the local area network having multiple channel wireless access.

The Baratz et al. (US#5,742,596) is cited to show a method and apparatus for a network based distributed PBX system.

The Barabash (US#5,913,176) is cited to show the automatic programming of customer premises equipment for vertical services integration.

The Shaffer et al. (US#5,911,123) is cited to show the system and method for populating cache servers with popular media contents.

The Choi et al. (US#5,781,612) is cited to show the radio terminal interfaces for voice and data telecommunications and methods for their operation.

The Kikinis et al. (US#5,960,073) is cited to show a method and apparatus for providing an interactive home agent with access to call center functionality and resources.

The Scott (US#6,078,805) is cited to show a method and system for cellular reseller control of outbound calls from a mobile station.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/940,182

Art Unit: 2665

The Harrison et al. (US#5,796,727) is cited to show the communication system for wide area wireless LAN access.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Phan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3149. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri from 6:00 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at toll free 1-866-217-9197.

Mphan

10/06/2005.